

Neifeld Ref.: PIP-75-WILL

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Eric N. Williams

SERIAL NO: 09/826,814

FILED: 4/6/2001

FOR: Integration of Multiple Consumer Communications

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313



## AMENDMENT COVER LETTER INCLUDING AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- No additional fee is required
- Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 has been established by a verified statement submitted herewith.
- Additional documents filed herewith: 37 CFR 1.143 RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

The Fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS	CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID	NO. EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	CALCULATIONS	
TOTAL	189	MINUS	178	11	× \$18 =	\$198.00	
INDEPENDENT	9	MINUS	9	0	× \$86 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS			+ \$290 =	\$0.00	
						TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS	\$198.00
		<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction by 50% for filing by Small Entity				\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Recordation of Assignment			+ \$40 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time: 1 Month			+ \$110 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Months			+ \$420 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Months			+ \$950 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Months			+ \$1,480 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer			+ \$130 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement Prior to Final			+ \$180 =	\$0.00	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____					
						TOTAL	\$198.00


A check including the amount of \$198.00 is attached.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2106.

Respectfully Submitted,

10/7/04  
Date

Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 35,299  
Attorney of Record



NEIFELD DOCKET NO: PIP-75-WILL  
PRIOR DOCKET NO: 7791-7791-25

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.: 09/826,814

CONFIRMATION NO.: 6446

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Eric N. Williams

FILED: 4/6/01

GROUP ART UNIT: 3622

EXAMINER: Raquel Alvarez

FOR: Integration of Multiple Consumer Communications

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 CFR 1.143 RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES

REQUIREMENT AND 37 CFR 1.111 AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE

TO A NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Sir: In response to the non-final office action mailed 9/10/04, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Amendments to the claims begin on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 24 of this paper.

10/08/2004 JBALIMAN 00000042 09826814

01 FC:1202

198.00 OP

## Table of Contents

I.	Status of this Application .....	24
II.	Response To The Office Action .....	25
III.	Response With Provisional Election And Traverse .....	26
A.	No Showing of 35 USC 121's "Independent And Distinct" Requirement .....	26
B.	Comparison Of MPEP Requirements To The Examiner's Basis For Restriction	27
1.	The Two Requirements For Specifying Reasons For Insisting Upon Restriction, In MPEP 808, And A Summary Of Why The Requirements Are Not Satisfied .....	27
2.	Requirement For Stating The Reasons (As Distinguished From The Mere Statement ) Of Conclusion) Why The Inventions As Claimed Are Either Independent Or Distinct, As Specified In MPEP 816, And Why The Requirement Is Not Satisfied .....	28
3.	The Requirements Specified In MPEP 817 - Outline Of Letter For Restriction Requirement Between Distinct Inventions And Why The Requirements Are Not Satisfied .....	29
4.	The Definition In MPEP 802.01 Of "Distinct," Why The Examiner Failed To Show That Groups I And II Are Distinct, And Why "Determining An Effective Medium" Is Not A Separate Use .....	30
5.	The Requirement That There Be A Serious Burden Specified As Set Forth In MPEP 803, And Why There Is No Serious Burden .....	31
6.	The Burden Placed Upon The Applicant, And Ultimately Also Upon The USPTO By The Requirement .....	32